

GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1,)
)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Civil Action No. 15-634-JFB-SRF
)
TCL COMMUNICATION)
TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS)
LIMITED, A Chinese Corporation,)
TCT MOBILE LIMITED, a Hong)
Kong Corporation, TCT)
MOBILE (US), INC., A Delaware)
Corporation, and TCT MOBILE, INC.,)
A Delaware Corporation,)
)
Defendants.)

This Court's judgment in the amount of \$950,00.00, plus pre- and post-judgment interest and ongoing royalties, was affirmed by the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit and the Mandate was issued on December 11, 2020. [D.I. 512](#), Judgment pursuant to Jury Verdict; [D.I. 539](#), Final Judgment; [D.I. 573](#), Mandate; [D.I. 573-1](#), Federal Circuit decision 573-2, Federal Circuit judgment. Claims 15 and 16 of the U.S. Patent No. 8,351,538 ("the '538 patent") and claims 9 and 12 of U.S. Patent No. 8,385,239 ("the '239 patent") were at issue in the litigation. This Court stayed execution on the judgment

pending the appeal (D.I. 543). The Court lifted the stay once the Federal Circuit's Mandate affirming the judgment was issued. (D.I. 575).

TCL then moved to continue the stay pending a writ of certiorari. D.I. 577. The Supreme Court has now denied TCL's petition for a writ of certiorari. D.I. 592-1, Ex. A at 4; see *TCL Commc'n v. Godo Kaisha IP Bridge 1*, No. 20-1545, 2021 WL 2637892 (U.S. June 28, 2021). Accordingly, the Court finds TCL's motion to stay is moot and will be denied.

TCL notified the Court of reexamination proceedings pending at the Patent and Trademark Office on May 17, 2021. D.I. 591. In a letter to the Court that pertains to the stay, plaintiff Godo Kaisha IP Bridge 1 ("IP Bridge") requests that the Court deny any further stay, allow execution on the judgment and grant an award of costs.¹ D.I. 592 TCL, on the other hand, informs the Court that the PTO has since rejected claims 15 and 16 of the '538 patent in two office actions and the Patent and Trademark Appeals Board ("PTAB") issued a Final Rejection and Examiner's Answer on May 5, 2021. D.I. 593; see D.I. 573-1, Ex. 9. It urges the Court to continue the stay pending a final order on the reexamination, which it contends will be entered in the next five to six months.

The Court has reviewed and fully considered the letters and supporting documentation and finds that a continued stay should be denied. The stay was originally granted to allow for appeals through the judicial system, and not for further administrative proceedings. Accordingly, the Court will deny TCL's letter request for a further stay.

¹ IP Bridge earlier filed a bill of costs (D.I. 578; D.I. 584; D.I. 585) and TCL filed objections thereto (D.I. 588). In accordance with the Local Rules of Civil Practice and Procedure of the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, costs will be taxed by the Clerk of Court. See D. Del. LR 54.1(a)(4).

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Defendants' motion for a continued stay of this action ([D.I. 577](#)) is denied as moot.

2. Defendants' letter request for a further stay pending administrative proceedings ([D.I. 593](#)) is denied.

DATED this 8th day of July 2021.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Joseph F. Bataillon
Senior United States District Judge